

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington. DC 20231

A	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	08/784,224	01/16/97	SULLIVAN		A	128-96-003	
۲			LM32/1125	٦		EXAMINER	
	L JOY GRIEBENOW				MYHR	MYHRE, J	
	ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS CORPORATION			,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	5400 LEGAC\ H3-3A-05	/ DRIVE			2765	\(\sigma\)	
	PLANO TX 75	5024			DATE MAILED:	11/25/98	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. A

Applicant(s)

08/784,224

Sullivan

Advisory Action Examiner

James Myhre

Group Art Unit 2765



Th	IE PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) 🔲	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	b) 🔀	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Adis later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the darejection.	ction, whichever ite of the final
	date on determ	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appro which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.1 red from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	nurnoses of
	Appell period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	or within any
Ap bu	plicant t is NO	's response to the final rejection, filed on $\phantom{aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa$	ving effect,
X	The pr	oposed amendment(s):	
	🛛 wi	ll be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
	☐ wi	Il not be entered because:	
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or sissues for appeal.	implifying the
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claim	s.
	NO.	re:	
			
	□ Ap	plicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Newly	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if subte, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	bmitted in a
X	for all	ifidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application because: Seant has failed to present convincing evidence of the difference between the inventive "knowledge" The season information and the small billion of information in the state of the season in the small billion of information and the small billion of information in the small billion of information and the small billion of information in the small billion in the small billion in the small billion of information in the small billion in the smal	matrix", which
П		status information on the availability of information in a database, and an index commonly used visiting it are adjusted SOUTIVE in the state of the	
	the Ex	fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were aminer in the final rejection.	newly raised by
X	For pu		
		rposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
	Claims	allowed:	
	Claims	allowed:s objected to:	
	Claims		
	Claims Claims The pr	oposed drawing correction filed onhashas not been approved by t	
	Claims Claims The pr	s allowed:s objected to:s rejected: _1-28	
	Claims Claims The pr	oposed drawing correction filed onhashas not been approved by t	he Examiner.

U. S. Patent and Tredemark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 8-95)